

**ORDER SHEET****WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL****Present-**

Hon'ble Justice Soumitra Pal,  
Hon'ble Mr. P. Ramesh Kumar,

Hon'ble Chairman &  
Administrative Member.

| Serial No. and<br>Date of order.<br>1 | Order of the Tribunal with signature<br>2  | Office action with date<br>and dated signature<br>of parties when necessary<br>3 |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| <p>14<br/>17.7.2019.</p>              | <p>Case No. OA 1009 of 2017.<br/>SAMIR DAS GUPTA –VS- THE STATE OF W.B. &amp; ORS.</p> <p>For the Applicant : Mrs. S. Mitra,<br/>Advocate.</p> <p>For the State Respondent : Mr. S. Ghosh,<br/>Advocate.</p> <p>Case No. OA 1010 of 2017.<br/>PRIYANJIT TEWARI –VS- THE STATE OF W.B. &amp; ORS.</p> <p>For the Applicant : Mrs. S. Mitra,<br/>Advocate.</p> <p>For the State Respondent : Mr. S. Ghosh,<br/>Advocate.</p> <p>Since issues are identical, with the consent of Mrs. S.Mitra, learned advocate for the applicant and Mr. S. Ghosh, learned advocate for the State respondents, the matters are taken up for hearing. However, for the sake of clarity and brevity, the facts in OA 1009 of 2017 are mentioned in the order.</p> <p>In this application, the point involved is though in the post of Medical Technologist (Lab-Gr-III) for experience two marks were to be allotted for each year with a maximum of four marks, since the applicant</p> |  |

**ORDER SHEET**

SAMIR DASGUPTA &amp; PRIYANJIT TEWARI.

Form No. ....

**Vs.**Case No. **OA 1009 of 2017 & OA 1010 of 2017.**

THE STATE OF W.B. &amp; ORS.

| Serial No. and Date of order.<br>1 | Order of the Tribunal with signature<br>2  | Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary<br>3 |
|------------------------------------|--|--|
|                                    | <p>secured 63.5 marks which included two marks for experience, according to the applicant as he had two year experience, he was entitled to further two marks which would have placed him at par with the last selected candidate in the general category. Mrs. S. Mitra, learned advocate for the applicant submits that since grant of two marks would entitle the applicant to be at par with the last selected candidate, appropriate order may be passed.</p> <p>In paragraph 6 of the reply filed by the State, it has been stated that Samir Das Gupta, the applicant had submitted only one experience certificate from the Institute of Child Health wherein his working experience has been shown from March, 2010 to 17<sup>th</sup> January, 2012 and as his total working period was one year three months and seventeen days and thus, for one year he was awarded two marks. This fact has not been stated by the applicant in the original application, which the applicant has tried to highlight in the undated supplementary application. We cannot take notice of the supplementary application as the original application is sketchy and bereft of facts. Hence, as the State in</p> |  |

**ORDER SHEET**

SAMIR DASGUPTA &amp; PRIYANJIT TEWARI.

Form No. ....

**Vs.**Case No. **OA 1009 of 2017 & OA 1010 of 2017.**

THE STATE OF W.B. &amp; ORS.

| Serial No. and<br>Date of order.<br>1 | Order of the Tribunal with signature<br>2   | Office action with date<br>and dated signature<br>of parties when necessary<br>3 |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| Skg.                                  | <p>paragraph 6 of the reply has stated that the applicant has only one year experience, the State authorities were justified in not selecting the applicant. Hence, no order is passed on this application. The application is dismissed. Accordingly OA 1010 of 2017 is also dismissed.</p> <p>(P. Ramesh Kumar)<br/>Member (A).</p> <p>(Soumitra Pal)<br/>Chairman.</p> |  |